

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,547	07/30/2003	Patrick A. C. Gane	239126US0CONT	6504
22850	7590 03/30/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			CHIN, PETER	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALLAANI	MA, VA 22514		1731	
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

w	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/629,547	GANE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Chin	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perions a fixed period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the maximum statutory perions are reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of Id will apply and will expire SIX (6) No ute, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application	าท					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
Application Papers						
	nor					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed as 20 kets 2003 interest of the specified to by the Examiner.					
	)  The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	Examinor. Note the attack	104 01100 / 101101 01 101111 10 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	Na 105 7 217				
Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure	iority documents have be					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Dialisperson's Fatent Diawing Review (FTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/629,547

Art Unit: 1731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hornsey (5,378,322) in view of Strauch et al (4,767,464) or Bauman (4,026,762).

Hornsey shows an aqueous fiber dispersion containing calcium carbonate filler and CO<sub>2</sub>. The CO<sub>2</sub> reacts in water in the presence of the calcium carbonate to produce HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> which catalyzes the reaction and thus, sizing action of ketene dimer on the cellulose fibers. As one can see from reaction (2), the addition of acid will drive the reaction towards the left hand side, that is to say to create more bicarbonate ion. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious as a matter of basic chemistry knowledge to add acid as long as the pH of the suspension stays within 7-9 range. Note that the present claims by virtue of the claim language "comprising", is open to the presence of fibers and sizing agents.

It would have been obvious to employ natural calcium carbonate such as limestone, chalk, marble, or calcite, since it is a well known and conventional form of calcium carbonate filler in the art as evidenced by Strauch et al or Bauman.

2. Claims 1-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The only "medium to strong" H3O+ ion-providers are defined by a specific group of acids,  $H_2SO_4$ ,  $HSO_3$ ,  $H_3PO_4$ , oxalic acid and mixtures thereof. The particle must have a mean grain diameter and specific area of 0.1-50.0 micrometers and 5-200 m²/g, respectively. These criteria are critical or essential to the

Application/Control Number: 10/629,547

Art Unit: 1731

practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

- 3. Claims 1-60 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-59 of U.S. Patent No. 6,666,953. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are of broader scope so as to obviously encompass the invention claimed in the patent.
- 4. The present invention produces calcium carbonate filler having unexpected superior properties. It is produced under very specific conditions using specific acids to obtain specific grain size and area. Thus, if the independent claims were properly amended to obviate the above rejection, the amended claims would be allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1186. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,547

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Chin Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731